

Reporter

40 Wm. Mitchell L. Rev. 1083

Length: 15159 words**Author:** Anne Tyler Gueinzius+ and Julia Hillel++**LexisNexis Summary**

... Minnesota courts recognize the following permanency dispositions: reunification; termination of parental rights followed by adoption; transfer of guardianship to the commissioner of human services with a voluntary consent to adopt followed by adoption; transfer of legal custody to a relative; and, in rare cases, permanent custody to the agency, or temporary legal custody to the agency for a specified period of time no longer than a year. ... The Importance of Relative Searches Relative searches can provide significant placement and permanency options for foster care youth. ... Best practice requires child advocates to ensure their clients' express wishes are known regarding contact with specific relatives so that a true best-interests determination may be made by the court. ... Concurrent Permanency Planning Minnesota defines concurrent permanency planning by the social services agency as developing an alternative permanency plan for children who are placed out of the home of their parents pursuant to a court order while making reasonable efforts to reunify the children with the family.

Text

[*1084]

I. Introduction

This article defines permanency as it relates to youth in foster care in Minnesota. It defines the general term permanency and provides a summary of what legal permanency means within Minnesota's Juvenile Code. Last, it provides recommendations for how to successfully approach and advocate the issue of permanency for youth in foster care.

While it is important to be respectful of a child client's views on permanency, a lawyer for a foster child must be adequately informed about all the options available so the child client can become a real player in the judicial process. Research shows that legal representation of children can have a positive effect on permanency outcomes.

¹ Engaging clients in real conversations about permanency and describing to them what each option entails [*1085] is an important part of working with and advocating for children in the foster care system.

II. Understanding the Importance of Permanency for Youth in Foster Care

"Permanency is both a process and a result" wherein children locate and create a lifelong supportive, secure, safe, and stable parenting relationship with at least one unconditionally committed and caring adult. ² It is a loving, parenting relationship in which there is mutual participation and understanding that the "relationship is intended to

¹ Lily Dorman-Colby, Study Shows Legal Representation of Children Expedites Permanency, Child Ct. Works (ABA Ctr. on Children & the Law, Wash., D.C.), June 2008.

Studies have shown that providing an attorney to youth in dependency proceedings can significantly improve outcomes: children represented by attorneys have been shown to move to permanent homes (and out of foster care) at a rate about 1.5 times higher than unrepresented children, reducing foster care and court expenditures by an average of 32%.

Legal Counsel for Youth and Children Programs, Seattle Found., <http://www.seattlefoundation.org/npos/Pages/LegalCounselforYouthandChildren.aspx> (last visited Jan. 11, 2014).

² Definition of Permanency, Seneca Fam. Agencies, http://www.senecacenter.org/perm_permanency_def (last visited Jan. 20, 2014).

last forever." ³ In general terms, permanency is a sense of belonging - it refers both to children's membership in a family and to their attachments to the individuals who matter most to them. ⁴

In legal terms, permanency refers to the child's permanent familial status created by a court order. Minnesota courts recognize the following permanency dispositions: reunification; termination of parental rights followed by adoption; transfer of guardianship to the commissioner of human services with a voluntary consent to adopt followed by adoption; transfer of legal custody to a relative; and, in rare cases, permanent custody to the agency, or temporary legal custody to the agency for a specified period of time no longer than a year. ⁵

For foster children who have been placed in out-of-home care, the instability of multiple, prolonged, or unsteady foster care placements can have lasting effects upon a child's sense of belonging and emotional well-being. ⁶ "Finding permanency that is safe and secure for a child is crucial to a child's development." ⁷ It [*1086] also is extremely important because those who age out of the system without permanency fare much worse than their peers in many aspects of life. ⁸ Planning for permanency should begin the moment children are placed into out-of-home care and continue until the very end of their involvement in the foster care system. ⁹ Informing a child client about her permanency options, engaging her in real conversations about permanency, ensuring her express wishes are heard in court, and building support for a client's position through investigation of facts are all essential to effectively advocate for a child client in foster care. ¹⁰

III. Legal Permanency in Minnesota

A. Reunification

Reunifying a child with her legal custodian is often the preferred outcome for children in out-of-home placements. ¹¹ In Minnesota, family preservation is a paramount goal for families in the child protection system. ¹² In most cases in Minnesota, reunification must be the primary permanency plan for the first six months to a year in child

³ Sue Hoag Badeau, Casey Family Programs, Permanency Values Training: Who Wouldn't Want a Family, Wis. Dep't Child. & Families 6 (2009), http://dcf.wisconsin.gov/children/foster/permanency_roundtables/pdf/permanency_values_training.pdf.

⁴ See id.

⁵ [Minn. Stat. §§260C.513](#), .515 (2012).

⁶ Achieving Permanency for Children and Youth in Foster Care, Issue Brief (R.I. Kids Count, Providence, R.I.), May 2008, at 1, available at <http://www.rkidscount.org/matriarch/documents/PermanencyIB%281%29.pdf>.

⁷ Suriya Khong & Julia Hillel Larsen, Approaching and Advocating the Issue of Permanency, CLC Prac. Point (Children's Law Ctr. of Minn., St. Paul, Minn.), Feb. 10, 2011, available at <http://www.clcmn.org/wp-content/uploads/2009/06/Practice-Point-13-Feb-2011.pdf>. Portions of this article are derived from this practice point.

⁸ A Chapin Hall study showed that of the twenty-three-or twenty-four-year-olds surveyed who aged out of foster care, close to 37% had been homeless or "couch surfed," nearly 25% had not earned their high school diploma or GED, only around 50% were currently employed, almost 67% of women who had become pregnant had an unplanned pregnancy, and 45% of men had been incarcerated since their last interview. Mark E. Courtney et al., Midwest Evaluation of the Adult Functioning of Former Foster Youth: Outcomes at Age 23 and 24, at 10, 22, 27, 50, 67 (2010), available at http://www.chapinhall.org/sites/default/files/Midwest_Study_Age_23_24.pdf.

⁹ Khong & Hillel Larsen, *supra* note 7.

¹⁰ Id.

¹¹ Sharon G. Elstein et al., Am. Bar Ass'n, Achieving Permanency for Adolescents in Foster Care: A Guide for Legal Professionals 3 (2006) ("Reunification is the most preferred permanency option under [the Adoption and Safe Families Act].").

¹² See [Minn. Stat. § 260C.001](#), subdiv. 2(b)(3) (2012).

protection cases.¹³ However, reunification is not always a real option, so it is important to concurrently engage in contingency planning with your client regarding other permanency options.¹⁴

[*1087] There are several ways to advocate for a child client who wants to be reunified with her family to support a greater chance of a successful reunification. First, ensure that the out-of-home placement plan identifies and details a treatment plan for the parents and the family that specifically correlates to the needs of the family and to the issues that caused the youth to be removed from the home.¹⁵ Next, ask the court early on to clearly communicate to the social services agency, the parents, and the youth what is expected of them to facilitate a stable reunification.¹⁶ If a client is placed out of the home and wants visits with family, ardently advocate for visitation since frequent visits and contact may increase the odds that reunification will occur.¹⁷ Finally, asking the court to grant a trial home visit may be a good way to test success at home after sufficient progress on a case plan has been achieved.¹⁸

B. Termination of Parental Rights and Adoption

Termination of parental rights is a process through which a person's rights as a parent are taken away and the person is no longer the child's legal parent.¹⁹ After a termination of parental rights, a parent no longer has the right to visit or talk to the child or to decide how the child is raised or taken care of, and the child can be adopted without the parent's permission.²⁰

A court may order a voluntary termination of parental rights by accepting a parent's admission to a termination of parental rights or an involuntary termination after a trial when the petitioner proves, among other findings, the responsible county made sufficient and individualized efforts to reunify the parent with the child and that the termination is in the child's best **[*1088]** interests.²¹ After a parent's rights are terminated, if no other person has parental rights to the child, the guardianship of the child is transferred to the commissioner of human services and the court retains jurisdiction of the child until the child is adopted or ages out of the foster care system.²² An in-court appearance hearing must be held every ninety days following the termination of parental rights, for the purpose of reviewing the social services agency efforts to find an adoptive family for the child or to finalize an adoption.²³

¹³ Id. § 260C.001, subd. 2(b)(7)(i); id. § 260C.204.

¹⁴ See id. § 260C.223; Casey Family Servs. et al., *Permanence for Young People: Framework 2* (2004), available at <http://www.aecf.org/upload/publicationfiles/cfs3622h1222.pdf>. For a fuller discussion on concurrent planning, see *infra* Part VIII.

¹⁵ See Elstein et al., *supra* note 11, at 4.

¹⁶ Id. at 4-5.

¹⁷ Child Welfare Info. Gateway, U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs., *Family Reunification: What the Evidence Shows 7* (2011), available at https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubs/issue_briefs/family_reunification/family_reunification.pdf.

¹⁸ Elstein et al., *supra* note 11, at 6.

¹⁹ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.317](#).

²⁰ Id.

²¹ Id. § 260C.301. The court must also make other specific findings in addition to finding that the social services agency made reasonable or active efforts to rehabilitate the parent and reunite the family and that the termination of parental rights is in the child's best interests. Id. In certain cases when reunification is not requested by the social services agency, reasonable or active efforts are not required. Id. §§260C.301, subd. 8(2), 260.012.

²² Id. §§260C.317, subd. 3(d), 260C.325.

²³ Id. §§260C.317, subd. 3(c), 260C.607, subd. 1.

Uncovering a child client's true thoughts about termination of her parents' parental rights and adoption may be difficult, as children often experience conflicted feelings about adoption.²⁴ Many children in out-of-home placements continue to feel a sense of loyalty to their birth or adoptive family, regardless of the abuse or neglect they may have suffered while in their parents' care.²⁵ Because of this, children in foster care may be unwilling to give up hope that their parents will change, or they may feel guilty for wanting to move on.²⁶ Assuring clients that they do not have to give up every emotional relationship from their pasts in order to explore a potential new future will enable many young people to move forward and consider adoption anew.²⁷

When discussing adoption with child clients, it is therefore extremely important to talk about contact agreements, which are legal documents that may enable clients to maintain scheduled visitation with siblings, extended family, and even birth parents.²⁸ **[*1089]** Such contact agreements are enforceable when the terms are included in the written court order at the time the adoption is granted.²⁹ If clients are concerned about being adopted because adoption will sever the communication they currently have with their biological families, contact agreements may remove this potential roadblock to permanency, and some parents may be more agreeable to terminating their rights if they know they can maintain some contact with their child.³⁰

Another common fear surrounding adoption arises when children in foster care internalize the suffering they have been subjected to, leading them to believe that they do not deserve a family or that they are not good enough to be accepted by others.³¹ Such fears may lead children to reject potential adoptive families before the families reject them.³²

Using questions that enable clients to elaborate on the reasons behind their aversion to adoption helps clients make truly informed decisions about the permanency options available.³³ Conversations about adoption should be ongoing and conducted in a manner that allows clients to feel heard and express their concerns and wishes.³⁴

C. Consent to Adopt

The court may execute a "parent's voluntary consent to adopt," which relinquishes the parent's parental rights in lieu of a termination of parental rights, when "there is an identified prospective adoptive" home that "has agreed to

²⁴ Khong & Hillel Larsen, *supra* note 7.

²⁵ *Id.*

²⁶ *Id.*

²⁷ *Id.*; see also Peggy Slater, Family Connections Project, Making the Case for Ongoing Connections Between Youth and Those Who Matter to Them - Before Permanency and Beyond 9-10 (2006), available at <http://www.nrcadoption.org/pdfs/ypc/LegalTrainingManual4-09-07withCover.pdf> (discussing the importance of former foster youth having the option to have ongoing connections with their family of origin and other people in their life).

²⁸ Khong & Hillel Larsen, *supra* note 7. Contact agreements must be worked out before an adoption is finalized. [Minn. Stat. §§259.58, 260C.619](#). The type of contact exists in many different forms, such as letters exchanged through an agency or monitored by the parent, phone calls, e-mails, occasional supervised visits, designated holidays spent together, regular visits outside the home, an inclusion of a special person in the permanent family's "extended family," or all of the above in any combination that works. Slater, *supra* note 27, at 10.

²⁹ [Minn. Stat. §§259.58\(3\)\(a\), 260C.619\(b\)](#).

³⁰ Elstein et al., *supra* note 11, at 9-10.

³¹ Khong & Hillel Larsen, *supra* note 7.

³² *Id.*

³³ *Id.* See *infra* Part V for examples of how to engage children in discussions about permanency.

³⁴ Elstein et al., *supra* note 11, at 8.

adopt the child" and the responsible social services agency approves of the identified prospective adoptive home.³⁵ If the adoption by the [*1090] identified prospective home is not finalized by six months from the execution of the consent to adopt, the court may order the social services agency to pursue another adoptive home for the child.³⁶

D. Transfer of Legal Custody

While adoption is often considered the best permanency option for children who cannot or do not want to return to their parents' care, it is just one option that supports lifelong connections between children and stable, caring adults.³⁷ A permanent legal and physical transfer of custody is similar to adoption in that it provides a child with permanency, stability, and a caring family, but it does not require a termination of the parents' parental rights.³⁸ Legal custodians are given the right to protect and care for the child, enroll the child in school, and obtain medical care for the child.³⁹

Birth parents may retain certain rights such as visitation rights or access to information, and may still be obligated to pay child support.⁴⁰ Modifications to transfers of legal custody may be made upon a motion and a showing of a change in circumstances that such modification is in the best interests of the child.⁴¹ Thus, transfers of legal custody may be the best option for children who want to ensure their parents are granted visitation rights or for children who hope to be reunified with their parents in the future.⁴²

E. Permanent Custody to the Agency

Legally, permanent custody to the agency is listed as a permanency disposition in Minnesota;⁴³ however, in practice this option is not what many experts in the field would consider permanency. In 2012, this permanency disposition replaced the [*1091] prior permanency determination "Long-Term Foster Care" in Minnesota⁴⁴ and is considered "'another planned permanent living arrangement' (APPLA)," defined by the Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA).⁴⁵ ASFA explicitly prohibits long-term foster care as a permanency determination and allows APPLA

³⁵ **Minn. Stat. § 260C.515**, subdiv. 3 (2012).

³⁶ *Id.* § 260C.515, subdiv. 3(7).

³⁷ Khong & Hillel Larsen, *supra* note 7.

³⁸ *Id.*

³⁹ **Minn. Stat. § 260C.515**, subdiv. 4(3).

⁴⁰ Child Safety & Permanency Div., Minn. Dep't of Human Servs., Paths to Permanency: Information for Minnesota Foster Families 2 (2007), available at <http://www.mnadopt.org/downloads/DHS-4907-ENGpathsperm2007.pdf>.

⁴¹ **Minn. Stat. §§260C.521**, subdiv. 2, 518.18(d), 518.185.

⁴² Khong & Hillel Larsen, *supra* note 7.

⁴³ **Minn. Stat. § 260C.515**, subdiv. 5.

⁴⁴ See Act of Apr. 23, 2012, ch. 216, art. 4, § 31, 2012 Minn. Laws 502 (codified at **Minn. Stat. § 260C.515**, subdiv. 5) (repealing **Minn. Stat. § 260C.201**, subdiv. 11(d)(3) (2010), which was the permanency disposition titled "Long Term Foster Care").

⁴⁵ Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997, Pub. L. No. 105-89, **111 Stat. 2115, 2121, 2129** (codified at **42 U.S.C. § 675** (2006)). ASFA regulations give three examples of compelling reasons to establish APPLA as a permanency plan: (1) "an older teen who specifically requests that emancipation be established as his/her permanency plan," (2) a case where "parent and child ... have a significant bond but ... parent is unable to care for the child because of [a significant] emotional or physical disability and the child's foster parents have committed to raising [the child] to the age of majority and to facilitate visitation with the disabled parent," and (3) "the Tribe has identified another planned permanent living arrangement for the child." **45 C.F.R. § 1356.21(h)(3)(i)-(iii)** (2012).

as a permanency determination requiring the social services agency to provide compelling reasons why the living arrangement is expected to last and be stable. ⁴⁶

The court may only order this permanency disposition if "no other permanency disposition ... is in the child's best interests," the child is twelve years old or older or is the sibling of a child "ordered into the same foster home" and "the siblings have a significant positive relationship" with one another, the "social services agency has made reasonable [or active] efforts to locate and place the child" with "a fit and willing relative" or an adoptive family, but efforts were unsuccessful, and "the parent will continue to have visitation or contact with the child." ⁴⁷

An annual in-court appearance hearing must be held for any child in permanent custody of the agency. ⁴⁸ Any party can ask the court to schedule more frequent review hearings to assess if the placement is safe and appropriate and if "a more preferred permanency option can be achieved." ⁴⁹ At the review hearing, the court must review whether or not permanent custody to the agency [*1092] is still in the child's best interests, if "the agency is assisting the child" in building connections with her family and the community, and if the agency is helping the child learn "independent living skills." ⁵⁰ The "out-of-home placement plan" and the agency's efforts "to finalize an alternative permanent plan for the child" must also be reviewed at this hearing. ⁵¹ This permanency disposition can continue if the court finds that permanent custody to the agency is still "the most appropriate legal arrangement for ... the child's needs [relating to] permanency and stability" and ensures that the child is in an identified, specific home and that "appropriate services are [being] provided to address the ... needs of the child." ⁵²

F. Temporary Legal Custody to the Agency

The court may order temporary legal custody to the agency for continued placement for a specified period of time if the only basis for the Child in Need of Protection or Services (CHIPS) adjudication was the child's behavior, the court finds it is the child's best interests, and the court agrees with the social services agency's determination that there are compelling reasons to not transfer permanent physical and legal custody to a relative or to terminate parental rights. ⁵³ This permanency disposition is generally used for foster youth in treatment programs and is essentially continued foster care for no more than a year. ⁵⁴

IV. Timelines for Permanency in Child Protection Cases Under Minnesota Law

⁴⁶ Cecilia Flermonte & Jennifer L. Renne, *Making It Permanent: Reasonable Efforts to Finalize Permanency Plans for Foster Children* 79 (Claire Sandt ed., 2002).

⁴⁷ [*Minn. Stat. § 260C.515*](#), subdiv. 5.

⁴⁸ *Id.* § 260C.521, subdiv. 1(a).

⁴⁹ Flermonte & Renne, *supra* note 46, at 80.

⁵⁰ [*Minn. Stat. § 260C.521*](#), subdiv. 1(b)(1)-(3).

⁵¹ *Id.* § 260C.521, subdiv. 1(c)(1)-(4).

⁵² *Id.* The court must ensure that the agency is providing "appropriate services to address the physical health, mental health, and education needs of the child" as well as services which ensure the child is able "to maintain relationships with appropriate family members and the ... community." *Id.* § 260C.521, subdiv. 1(c)(4). The court must also find that the agency has made reasonable or active efforts to find "a more legally permanent home for the child" and has engaged "the child in planning for independent living." *Id.* § 260.521, subdiv. 1(d)(1)-(2).

⁵³ *Id.* § 260C.515, subdiv. 6.

⁵⁴ *Id.*

ASFA puts significant emphasis on permanency timeline requirements for all states.⁵⁵ Typically in Minnesota, a child [*1093] protection case formally enters the juvenile court system within seventy-two hours from the time the child was removed from home via an Emergency Protective Care (EPC) hearing.⁵⁶ A CHIPS petition listing the allegations of abuse or neglect is filed with the request for an EPC hearing. The court examines the CHIPS petition to determine if there is a prima facie showing that a child protection matter exists; if the child is the subject of the matter; and if there is reason to believe that the child would endanger herself or others, not return to the court hearing, or that the child's health or welfare would be immediately endangered if she was returned home.⁵⁷ At the EPC hearing, the court determines placement in foster care, with or without relatives and siblings; visitation; social services needed for the child and family; and if the Indian Child Welfare Act applies to the case.⁵⁸

Assuming that the EPC request is granted, the matter proceeds to an admit/deny hearing within ten days of the EPC hearing.⁵⁹ At the hearing, the parent either admits to the petition and the child is adjudicated CHIPS or the parent denies the petition and the matter is continued to a pretrial hearing at least ten days before the trial date.⁶⁰ The purpose of the pretrial hearing is for, inter alia, settlement discussions, exchanging of witness and exhibit lists, and setting a trial date for the CHIPS determination.⁶¹ A CHIPS trial must commence within sixty days of the EPC hearing and the court must find that statutory grounds set forth in the CHIPS petition are proven by clear and convincing evidence or the case is dismissed.⁶² If the court finds that the statutory grounds in the petition are proven, the court shall adjudicate the child CHIPS and enter a disposition in the case addressing placement and services.⁶³

A written out-of-home placement plan must be filed within thirty days after a child is placed in foster care.⁶⁴ The plan is [*1094] prepared by the social services agency along with all the parties, including the child when it is appropriate.⁶⁵ The plan must be explained to all parties involved in its implementation and signed by such parties, including the child.⁶⁶ Each party, including the child, has the right to legal counsel in the preparation of the case plan and shall be informed of such right at the time of placement of the child.⁶⁷ The plan must describe how the out-of-home placement is "designed to achieve a safe placement for the child in the least restrictive, most family-like, setting available which is in close proximity to the home of the parent or parents or guardian of the child when the case plan goal is reunification."⁶⁸ The plan must describe what led to the removal of the child and the changes

⁵⁵ See Title IV-E Foster Care Eligibility Reviews and Child and Family Services State Plan Reviews, [65 Fed. Reg. 4020, 4035](#) (Jan. 25, 2000) (to be codified at 45 C.F.R. pt. 1355-57).

⁵⁶ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.178](#), subdiv. 1(a); Minn. R. Juv. Prot. P. 30.01, subdiv. 1.

⁵⁷ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.178](#), subdiv. 1; Minn. R. Juv. Prot. P. 30.08, subdiv. 1.

⁵⁸ Minn. R. Juv. Prot. P. 30.10.

⁵⁹ Id. R. 34.02, subdiv. 1(a).

⁶⁰ Id. R. 35.01, subdiv. 1, 36.01.

⁶¹ Id. R. 36.02.

⁶² Id. R. 39.02, subdiv. 1(a), 39.04, subdiv. 1, 39.05.

⁶³ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.201](#) (2012); Minn. R. Juv. Prot. P. 40.01, 41.05.

⁶⁴ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.212](#), subdiv. 1.

⁶⁵ Id. § 260C.212, subdiv. 1(b).

⁶⁶ Id. § 260C.212, subdiv. 1(b)(3)(c).

⁶⁷ Id. § 260C.212, subdiv. 1(d).

⁶⁸ Id. § 260C.212, subdiv. 1(c)(1).

expected of the parent in order for reunification to safely occur.⁶⁹ The case plan must identify the specific actions expected of the family to correct the conditions that resulted in the child's removal as well as the time period allowed for the family to complete such actions.⁷⁰ Additional requirements of the case plan include a description of any services requested by the child, the child's parent, guardian, foster parent or custodian, and the visitation plan for the parents, other relatives, and siblings not placed together.⁷¹ Other issues addressed in the case plan include the child's educational needs; medical needs, including who is responsible for coordinating the child's health needs; and an independent living plan for children over sixteen years of age.⁷² The plan must be presented to the foster care provider.⁷³

The social services agency must file a relative search report enumerating its efforts to identify and search for relatives within three months of the date the child is ordered into an out-of-home placement.⁷⁴

The court will hold a review hearing every ninety days following a CHIPS adjudication until permanency is achieved to **[*1095]** review the out-of-home placement plan and determine if the out-of-home placement is appropriate and necessary.⁷⁵ At a review hearing any party may request changes to the case plan and the court may modify the plan.⁷⁶

The court must conduct a permanency progress hearing no later than six months after the child was placed out of her home to determine the progress of the case, the parents' progress on the case plan, and the agency's efforts to facilitate reunification or to finalize a permanent plan for the child.⁷⁷ At this hearing the court may order the child to be returned home, continue the matter for up to six months for the social services agency to continue to provide services to support reunification, order the agency to develop a plan for transfer of legal and physical custody of the child to a relative, or order the agency to file a termination of parental rights petition.⁷⁸

The court is required to commence a proceeding to determine the permanent status of a child in out-of-home placement no later than twelve months after the child is placed in foster care or in the care of a noncustodial parent.⁷⁹ While this timeline may be extended,⁸⁰ it is important to be aware of counties' statutory obligations to ensure that foster children are provided with safe, stable, and lifelong homes as soon as possible.

If the legal permanency determination reached is termination of parental rights, then the agency must make specific recruitment efforts to find an adoptive family or other permanent plan for the child.⁸¹ An in-court appearance

⁶⁹ Id. § 260C.212, subdiv. 1(c)(2).

⁷⁰ Id. § 260C.212, subdiv. 1(c)(3).

⁷¹ Id. § 260C.212, subdiv. 1(c)(4)-(5).

⁷² Id. § 260C.212, subdiv. 1(c)(7)-(11).

⁷³ Id. § 260C.212, subdiv. 1(d).

⁷⁴ Id. §§260C.193, subdiv. 3(b), 260C.202(b), 260C.221(c). For a fuller discussion of relative searches, see *infra* Part VII.

⁷⁵ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.202\(a\)](#).

⁷⁶ Id. §§260C.202(a), 260C.203.

⁷⁷ Id. § 260C.204(a).

⁷⁸ Id. § 260C.204(c).

⁷⁹ Id. § 260C.503, subdiv. 1.

⁸⁰ Id. § 260C.503, subdiv. 3(b)(2). Extensions are granted under limited circumstances. Id.

⁸¹ Id. §§260C.317, subdiv. 3(c), 260C.607.

hearing must be held every ninety days following termination of parental rights to review the agency's progress and efforts to find an adoptive placement or other permanent living arrangement for the child. ⁸²

[*1096]

V. Engaging Youth as Participants in Permanency

Because youth are often the best resource in identifying potential permanent families, permanency efforts should be "youth-driven, family-focused, culturally competent, continuous, and approached with the highest degree of urgency." ⁸³ When discussing permanency with a child client, it is necessary to explain what permanency means so the client may make an informed decision about her future permanent placement. ⁸⁴ Remember, not all foster children will want to obtain the same permanent outcome, and not all youth in out-of-home placements will be granted their expressed wishes. ⁸⁵ Regardless, it is essential that a lawyer representing a child client express a client's wishes to the court, assuming the client has granted permission to share her position. ⁸⁶

Many times those involved in a child client's case will throw the word permanency around without ever explaining what it means to the child. ⁸⁷ A lawyer advocating for a child client should discuss what permanency means to the client and clarify different legal permanency options to a child client in a way that she can fully understand. ⁸⁸ Explain that permanence is not a place or a placement, but a state of mind of feeling connected to someone who will miss you when you do not show up or a person whom you can count on unconditionally. ⁸⁹ A child client can be empowered to choose her lifelong family connections and make them legal. ⁹⁰ It is essential to involve foster youth in the decisions that are being made about their lives and doing so will allow the youth to feel more invested in the plans. ⁹¹

[*1097]

Sample Questions: Approaching Permanency with Child Clients

- . If you could live with anyone you wanted, who would you live with?
- . Who do you love?
- . Who loves you?
- . Who do you feel close and connected to?
- . Is there a relative with whom you are particularly close? Is there a close family friend whom you like to spend time with?

⁸² Id. § 260C.317, subdiv. 3(c).

⁸³ Casey Family Servs. et al., supra note 14, at 1.

⁸⁴ See Brandy Hudson et al., 2008 National Convening on Youth Performance: Recommendations of Youth & Young Adults, Fam. to Fam. Cal. 2 (June 2008), <http://www.f2f.ca.gov/res/pdf/ConveningRecommendationsYouth.pdf>.

⁸⁵ Khong & Hillel Larsen, supra note 7.

⁸⁶ Id.

⁸⁷ See Badeau, supra note 3, at 5 (demonstrating that youth are not always told what "permanency" means).

⁸⁸ Khong & Hillel Larsen, supra note 7.

⁸⁹ Badeau, supra note 3, at 6.

⁹⁰ Khong & Hillel Larsen, supra note 7.

⁹¹ Elstein et al., supra note 11, at 31.

- . Everyone deserves a family, even if their family isn't biologically related to them. What kind of family would you like? Would you like to have sisters? Brothers? Would you like to be the oldest? The youngest?
- . If you cannot live with your parents (or siblings), how do you feel about being able to see them in the future?
- . Who would you like to spend the holidays with?
- . What does adoption mean to you?
- . What do you like to do every day? Where would you like to do these things?
- . Is staying in your current school important to you?
- . Are there any places that you stayed at before that you wish to return to?
- . Is there anyone that you would like to live with or spend time with that your social worker might not know about?
- . Is there anyone that your social worker looked at before for you to live with and ruled out? If yes, would you like your social worker to take another look at this person? ⁹²

VI. Counseling Older Clients About Permanency and Permanent Connections

In 2012, over thirty percent of youth in foster care in Minnesota aged out of the child protection system without having been adopted or finding a permanent home. ⁹³ Children who leave foster care without a supportive permanent connection in their lives fare much worse than those with supportive permanent **[*1098]** connections. ⁹⁴ It is extremely important, therefore, to find permanency for older foster children, as opposed to simply preparing the child to live independently. ⁹⁵ Thus, while it is important to help prepare an older client for adulthood, it is imperative to continue to find a permanent connection for the client. ⁹⁶ While work on an Independent Living Plan begins at age sixteen, ⁹⁷ youth are now able to remain in the system until age twenty-one, ⁹⁸ and thus there may be increased time to find permanency for a client before she leaves the foster care system. ⁹⁹

Sometimes adults give older children the option of saying that they do not want a permanent family. ¹⁰⁰ When this happens, a foster care youth may feel that she is not loveable, that no one would want her, that there is no hope for her future, and that she is not important enough for anyone to search for a family for her. ¹⁰¹ It is important to

⁹² Khong & Hillel Larsen, *supra* note 7.

⁹³ In 2012, 36.4% of children in foster care in Minnesota for three years or longer aged out of care or reached their eighteenth birthday without having obtained legal permanency. Children & Family Servs., Minn. Dep't of Human Servs., Minnesota's Child Welfare Report 2012, § II, at 24 (2013), available at <https://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Public/DHS-5408E-ENG>.

⁹⁴ See The Children's Aid Soc'y, *Aging Out of Foster Care: Youth Aging Out of Foster Care Face Poverty, Homelessness and the Criminal Justice System 2* (n.d.), available at <http://www.childrensaidsociety.org/files/upload-docs/FosterCare.pdf>.

⁹⁵ Khong & Hillel Larsen, *supra* note 7.

⁹⁶ *Id.*

⁹⁷ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.212](#), subdiv. 1(c)(11) (2012).

⁹⁸ *Id.* § 260C.451, subdiv. 3(a).

⁹⁹ Khong & Hillel Larsen, *supra* note 7.

¹⁰⁰ *Id.*

¹⁰¹ *Id.*

explain to older clients that they deserve and need the support and certainty of a family. ¹⁰² If a client is open to the idea of counseling, it can be helpful to refer the client to a therapist who works with older youth on the issues surrounding adoption, such as grief, loss, and accountability. ¹⁰³

While a formal permanent connection such as adoption or transfer of legal custody is often most beneficial, informal permanent connections can also be very valuable. ¹⁰⁴ One way to explore informal permanent connections is through a "Perma-nency Pact." ¹⁰⁵ "A Permanency Pact between a supportive adult and a foster child is a commitment to a long term supportive relationship and often identifies the type of support needed or [*1099] offered, such as a home for the holidays, a place to do laundry, or an emergency place to stay." ¹⁰⁶

Sample Questions: For Older Clients or Those Resistant to Permanency ¹⁰⁷

- . Are there important people in your life that you want to stay connected to?
- . Do you have any connections with an adult who is a coach or mentor to you?
- . Who cares for you when your parents can't? Who pays attention to you? Who looks out for you?
- . Who do you share special occasions with? Who believes in you and stands by you?
- . Who compliments you and appreciates you?
- . Who would you call in the middle of the night if you were in trouble?
- . Who would you want to share good or bad news with?
- . Tell me about some of your future plans. How do you think an adult can help you accomplish these goals?
- . I'm concerned about you because of what I know about teens who leave foster care without a family to fall back on. Do you know any kids who have left foster care? What types of support do they say they need now?
- . Would you like a support network of people who care about you after you leave foster care?
- . Do you have a Life Book? ¹⁰⁸ If not, would you like help in creating one?
- . Is there anyone you have lost contact with that you would like to be re-connected with?
- . Have you had an opportunity to participate in a group with other youth in foster care (peer support)? If not, is this something that you would be interested in?

¹⁰² See Jen Braun, Why Bother?, Am. RadioWorks, <http://americanradioworks.publicradio.org/features/fostercare/f1.html> (last visited Dec. 8, 2013).

¹⁰³ Elstein et al., supra note 11, at 7-8.

¹⁰⁴ Khong & Hillel Larsen, supra note 7.

¹⁰⁵ Id.

¹⁰⁶ Id.; see also FosterClub, Permanency Pact (2006), available at https://www.fosterclub.com/sites/default/files/PermPact_2.pdf (describing a perma-nency pact and providing a sample pact).

¹⁰⁷ This list of sample questions is adapted from a similar list found in Khong & Hillel Larsen, supra note 7.

¹⁰⁸ A Life Book is a pictorial and written representation of the life of a child designed to help a child better understand her background and history. Id.; see also Life Book [Adoption] Law & Legal Definition, USLegal, <http://definitions.uslegal.com/life-book-adoption/> (last visited Dec. 9, 2013).

- . What does your ideal family look like?
- . What are your goals for next year and beyond?

[*1100]

VII. The Importance of Relative Searches

Relative searches can provide significant placement and permanency options for foster care youth.¹⁰⁹ Child advocates should continually ensure that thorough initial relative searches are completed, documented, and properly reported to the court and that renewed searches are revisited when children languish in care.¹¹⁰

Children in foster care face a variety of significant changes in a relatively short period of time.¹¹¹ In the first initial hours of children being removed from their parents' home, they have encountered numerous unknown individuals.¹¹² These children often do not know where their parents are, and they are often unsure as to where they will be living; however, being placed with a relative can help reduce their anxiety of being removed from their homes.¹¹³

Moreover, "studies of foster care outcomes have shown immense benefits from children being placed with relatives, including fewer placement disruptions, better preservation of contacts between children and their parents, and preservation of relationships to familiar adults and the child's culture and environment."¹¹⁴ Further, "placement with relatives also serves to protect the child's self-esteem and sense of identity."¹¹⁵ Thus, when children are placed with individuals they know, they can feel more at ease.

Minnesota recognizes the importance of relatives and relative placement for its youth in care. Minnesota statutes define a **[*1101]** "relative" as any "person related to the child by blood, marriage, or adoption, or an individual who is an important friend with whom the child has resided or had significant contact."¹¹⁶ This broad definition of relative allows a child to consider more familiar individuals as possible placement options. It is extremely important for child advocates to remember to ask their child clients to identify the important people in their lives.¹¹⁷ Minnesota's child advocates cannot limit their list of relatives to only blood relatives, but must expand it beyond blood relatives to include friends, neighbors, and other significant individuals.¹¹⁸

¹⁰⁹ Minn. Dep't of Human Servs., Relative Search Best Practice Guide 1 (2006), available at <http://www.nrc4tribes.org/files/Relative%20Search%20Guide.pdf>.

¹¹⁰ See generally Jessie Shiffman & Lori D. Semke, What the Child's Attorney Should Know About Relative Searches, CLC Prac. Point (Children's Law Ctr. of Minn., St. Paul, Minn.), Jan. 12, 2011, available at <http://www.clcmn.org/wp-content/uploads/2009/06/January-2011-Practice-Point.pdf> (discussing the im-portance of relative searches).

¹¹¹ Am. Bar Ass'n Section of Litig. Children's Rights Litig. Comm., Interviewing the Child Client: Approaches and Techniques for a Successful Interview, YouTube (May 26, 2010), <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OYLWkVHvgOM> (Vincent Herman's comments at 9:19).

¹¹² Id.

¹¹³ Shiffman & Semke, supra note 110, at 1.

¹¹⁴ Id. (citing Minn. Dep't of Human Servs., supra note 109).

¹¹⁵ Id.

¹¹⁶ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.007](#), subdiv. 27 (2012).

¹¹⁷ See Shiffman & Semke, supra note 110, at 1; see also [Minn. Stat. § 260C.221\(a\)](#) (requiring the social services agency to gather information from the child "in an age-appropriate manner about who the child considers to be family members and important friends with whom the child has resided or had significant contact").

¹¹⁸ See Shiffman & Semke, supra note 110, at 1.

When a child first enters the child protection system, Minnesota requires that its social services agencies first consider placement with a relative without delay, based upon the best interests of the child.¹¹⁹ Additionally, once a child is under court jurisdiction, the court must ensure that the social services agency uses "reasonable efforts" to prevent the child's out-of-home placement, to reunite the family, and to finalize an "alternative placement plan."¹²⁰ These reasonable efforts must involve due diligence by the social services agency to conduct a relative search to identify and provide notice to adult relatives either prior to the child's placement or within thirty days after the child's removal from the parent.¹²¹

A relative search in Minnesota must be comprehensive and it must include both maternal and paternal relatives.¹²² The social services agency has a continuing obligation to "appropriately involve" those relatives who responded to the agency's relative notice.¹²³ The social services agency must provide detailed notification to a child's relatives.¹²⁴

[*1102] Not only must a relative search be comprehensive, but it must last for the first six months following the child's first placement, even if that placement is with a relative.¹²⁵ Despite the Minnesota Juvenile Code's limit on multiple moves for children in care,¹²⁶ placements are disrupted and relatives change their minds about being a permanency option. Thus, it is critical for practitioners to ensure that the relative search is thorough and does not stop simply because a child is placed with a relative.¹²⁷ This practice allows for more options to be explored and thereby increases the likelihood that a permanency option can be found.

Minnesota also requires that the juvenile court review whether the social services agency made proper efforts to conduct the relative search as required under [Minnesota Statutes section 260C.221](#).¹²⁸ No later than three months after the child's placement in foster care, the court must review the social services agency's reported diligent efforts to identify and search for relatives.¹²⁹ The court must order that such efforts continue if the social services agency failed to properly perform its duties.¹³⁰

If the court finds the social services agency did not make proper efforts and "there is a relative who qualifies to be licensed to provide family foster care," the court may order that the child be placed with the relative, if that is consistent with the child's best interests.¹³¹ Thus, it is critical for practitioners to be aware of all the important individuals to a youth in care.

¹¹⁹ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.221\(a\)](#).

¹²⁰ Id. § 260.012(a); see also id. §§260C.150, subd. 7, 260C.219.

¹²¹ Id. §§260.012(e)(3), 260C.221(a).

¹²² Id. § 260C.221(a).

¹²³ Id.

¹²⁴ Id.

¹²⁵ [Minn. R. 9560.0535](#), subpt. 3 (2013).

¹²⁶ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.212](#), subd. 3.

¹²⁷ See Shiffman & Semke, *supra* note 110, at 4.

¹²⁸ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.193](#), subd. 3(b)(1).

¹²⁹ Id. § 260C.221(c)(1).

¹³⁰ Id. § 260C.202(b); see also [Minn. R. 9560.0535](#), subpt. 3.

¹³¹ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.193](#), subd. 3(c).

The social services agency's relative search requirements are also linked to Minnesota's special-efforts mandate to recruit foster families from children's relatives.¹³² In order for the social services agency to satisfy its mandate to make special recruitment efforts, it must ask the child, parent/guardian, and guardian ad litem about the child's relatives and preferences regarding relatives; contact relatives; request the names of other relatives if necessary; and with consent or court order, consult with others who know the family.¹³³ [*1103] These requirements seek to ensure that the social services agency is exploring all relative options for children in care. The social services agency satisfies its special efforts requirements if "the child is placed with a relative who is interested in providing a permanent placement for the child" or the court approves the social services agency's efforts six months following the child's placement in a residential facility.¹³⁴

Child advocates in Minnesota should ensure that "special efforts" truly occurred consistent with Minnesota's requirements, and they should request that the court order the relative search to continue if such efforts were not made.¹³⁵

After the initial six-month search is completed, the social services agency is permitted to continue the search only by court order or if doing so would be in the best interests of the child.¹³⁶ Moreover, Minnesota provides that the court may order the social services agency to reopen its search for relatives at any time during the course of the proceedings when it is in the child's best interests to do so.¹³⁷

A parent of a child placed in foster care may object to a relative search and relative placement for the child.¹³⁸ Minnesota requires the social services agency to evaluate and address a parent's objection.¹³⁹ If, following the social services agency's evaluation, a parent continues to object to contact or placement with specific relatives, the social services agency must inform the court of the parent's objection and the parent's reasons.¹⁴⁰ The court then must determine whether the objection is consistent with the child's best interests.¹⁴¹ The social services agency must not contact this relative if the court determines that it would "endanger the parent, guardian, child, sibling, or any family member."¹⁴² Best practice requires child advocates to ensure their clients' express [*1104] wishes are known regarding contact with specific relatives so that a true best-interests determination may be made by the court.¹⁴³

If a child cannot return home and be reunified with her parent, the social services agency is again required to send notice to the child's relatives - "any adult with whom the child is currently residing, any adult with whom the child has

¹³² Id. § 260C.215, subdiv. 1.

¹³³ [Minn. R. 9560.0535](#), subpt. 4.

¹³⁴ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.215](#), subdiv. 1.

¹³⁵ Shiffman & Semke, supra note 110, at 2.

¹³⁶ [Minn. R. 9560.0535](#), subpt. 3.

¹³⁷ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.221\(a\)](#).

¹³⁸ Id. § 260C.221(b).

¹³⁹ See [Minn. R. 9560.0535](#), subpt. 2 (listing factors the agency is to consider when evaluating and addressing the parent's concerns).

¹⁴⁰ Id.; [Minn. Stat. § 260C.221\(b\)](#).

¹⁴¹ [Minn. Stat. §§ 260C.221\(b\)](#), [260C.193](#), subdiv. 3(e).

¹⁴² Id. § 260C.221(b).

¹⁴³ Shiffman & Semke, supra note 110, at 3-4.

resided for one year or longer in the past, and any adults who have maintained a relationship or exercised visitation with the child as identified in the agency case plan." ¹⁴⁴ The notice must inform the recipients that a permanent home is being sought for the child; those receiving the notice must indicate their interest in providing a permanent home for the child within thirty days of receipt of the notice or possibly lose the opportunity to be considered for a permanent placement. ¹⁴⁵

At this point in the proceedings, the social services agency may ask the court to modify its requirements of sending the required relative notice, or ask the court to completely relieve the agency of the requirements of sending such notice. ¹⁴⁶ The court's order regarding the social services agency's notice requirements must be "consistent with the best interests, safety, permanency, and welfare of the child." ¹⁴⁷ Moreover, Minnesota requires that reasonable efforts to finalize an adoption of a child include completing or updating the relative search under [Minnesota Statutes section 260C.221](#) and requiring an updated search if there is no identified prospective adoptive placement or if the child has been removed from the home of an adopting parent. ¹⁴⁸ Again, best practice and Minnesota's Juvenile Code dictate that the social services agency continues relative notification at this stage of the proceedings so that all relatives are notified and all possible permanent resources for the child are explored.

Minnesota law requires that placements with relatives and familiar individuals be the first consideration when foster care and permanent placement decisions are being made for children in care. ¹⁴⁹ Relative placements increase stability and preserve a child's [*1105] sense of family identity. ¹⁵⁰ These placements can also "help children avoid the feeling of being abandoned after being let down by their parents." ¹⁵¹ Renewed relative searches are also permitted if a new placement is needed or permanency has not been achieved for a youth in care. ¹⁵² Thus, attorneys representing foster care youth must ensure that social services agencies complete thorough relative searches early in the court process and revisit those searches when placements are disrupted and additional permanency options are needed.

VIII. Concurrent Permanency Planning

Minnesota defines concurrent permanency planning by the social services agency as developing an alternative permanency plan for children who are placed out of the home of their parents pursuant to a court order while making reasonable efforts to reunify the children with the family. ¹⁵³ In practice, this means that in addition to the social services agency's reunification plan, a concurrent alternative permanency plan must also be developed. The concept requires a concurrent and not sequential permanency plan for youth in care. ¹⁵⁴

¹⁴⁴ [Minn. Stat. § 260C.221\(g\)](#).

¹⁴⁵ *Id.*

¹⁴⁶ *Id.* § 260C.221(f).

¹⁴⁷ *Id.*

¹⁴⁸ *Id.* § 260C.605, subdiv. 1(d)(3).

¹⁴⁹ See *id.* §§260.012, 260C.150, 260C.219, 260C.221, 260C.605.

¹⁵⁰ Shiffman & Semke, *supra* note 110, at 7.

¹⁵¹ *Id.*

¹⁵² [Minn. Stat. §§260C.221\(a\), 260C.605](#), subdiv. 1(d)(3).

¹⁵³ *Id.* § 260C.223, subdiv. 1.

¹⁵⁴ Am. Bar Ass'n Permanency Barriers Project, *The Role of Courts and Attorneys in Concurrent Planning*, Pa. Off. Child. & Fam. Cts., <http://www.ocfcpacourts.us/assets/files/list-764/file-961.pdf> (last visited Mar. 11, 2014).

A concurrent permanency plan should simultaneously provide services to a child's parent to improve the conditions which led to the child's removal from the home so that the child can safely return home and provide placement of the child with a family that will support reunification while committing to being legally responsible for the child in the event the child cannot return home.¹⁵⁵

Minnesota's goals for concurrent planning are to reduce delays in attaining permanency for children in care, to reduce the number of placements a child in care experiences, and to decrease [*1106] children's average length of stay in out-of-home care.¹⁵⁶ Minnesota's present concurrent permanency planning model "involves using family engagement, relative searches, targeted case practice and legal strategies to achieve timely permanency."¹⁵⁷ Moreover, Minnesota's Department of Human Services encourages the use of the following national concurrent practices:

- . Frequent parent-child visitation;
- . Providing intensive parenting services for birth parents;
- . Review of relevant factors that may expedite or delay reunification;
- . Full disclosure to birth parents early in the process of the importance of their involvement in planning for the return of the children and the legal ramifications if they are not involved;
- . Identifying all family members early in the process and engaging them in case planning and visitation;
- . Encouraging collaboration between all family members and foster parents;
- . Convening Family Group Decision Making meetings to plan for the safety, permanency, and well-being of children; and
- . Recruiting, training, and maintaining relative and nonrelative foster families.¹⁵⁸

Concurrent planning is not a new concept.¹⁵⁹ Moreover, ASFA specifically authorized the use of concurrent permanency planning.¹⁶⁰ While concurrent planning is not new, recent [*1107] literature has produced "little in the way of outcomes or evidence-based practice."¹⁶¹

¹⁵⁵ Minn. Dep't of Human Servs., Practice Guide for Concurrent Permanency Planning (2006), available at <http://www.nrcpfc.org/cpt/docs/Minnesota%20Guide%20concurrentplanning.pdf>.

¹⁵⁶ Id.; see also [Minn. Stat. § 260C.223](#), subdiv. 1.

¹⁵⁷ Minn. Dep't of Human Servs., Concurrent Permanency Planning: Reducing Time in Foster Care 1 (2012), available at <https://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Public/DHS-4926-ENG>.

¹⁵⁸ Id. at 1-2.

¹⁵⁹ Linda Katz, Effective Permanency Planning for Children in Foster Care, 35 Soc. Work 220, 220 (1990); see also Child Welfare Info. Gateway, U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs., Concurrent Planning: What the Evidence Shows 3 (2012), available at https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubs/issue_briefs/concurrent_evidence/concurrent_evidence.pdf (explaining that concurrent planning began in the 1980s and has grown steadily over the last two decades).

¹⁶⁰ Adoption and Safe Families Act, Pub. L. No. 105-89, **111 Stat. 2115, 2117 (1997)** (codified at [42 U.S.C. § 671\(a\)\(15\)\(F\)](#) (2006)) ("Reasonable efforts to place a child for adoption or with a legal guardian may be made concurrently with reasonable efforts [to preserve and reunify families].").

¹⁶¹ Child Welfare Info. Gateway, *supra* note 159, at 5 (citing Joan R. Rycraft & Guillermina Benavides, Concurrent Planning: In Whose Interest?, in Nat'l Council for Adoption, *Adoption Factbook V* 257 (Elisa A. Rosman et al. eds., 2011), and Amy

Although there are limited quantitative studies, a Connecticut case record examination of 640 children "found that if the foster family with whom the child is living at the time of the [termination of parental rights] is rejected as the adoptive family, the child is 66 percent less likely to ever be adopted." ¹⁶² Further, it has been noted that "by every measure, children adopted from foster care have better outcomes than children who age out." ¹⁶³ Thus, it is clear that achieving permanency early in the process is better for our foster care youth.

In 2012, Minnesota's Juvenile Code was significantly amended. ¹⁶⁴ Minnesota's amended code specifically addresses concurrent planning in several sections. ¹⁶⁵ Minnesota provides a concurrent planning roadmap for its courts and social services agencies when it states:

Reasonable efforts to place a child for adoption or in another permanent placement may be made concurrently with reasonable efforts to prevent placement or to reunify the child with the parent or guardian from whom the child was removed. When the responsible social services agency decides to concurrently make reasonable efforts for both reunification and permanent placement away from the parent under paragraph (a), the agency shall disclose its decision and both plans for concurrent [*1108] reasonable efforts to all parties and the court. When the agency discloses its decision to proceed on both plans for reunification and permanent placement away from the parent, the court's review of the agency's reasonable efforts shall include the agency's efforts under both plans. ¹⁶⁶

Thus, it is clear that Minnesota's statutory amendments seek to ensure that Minnesota's social services agencies consider concurrent planning as part of their "reasonable efforts" requirements.

The American Bar Association Center on Children and the Law prepared a Concurrent Planning Hearing Checklist in 2007. The ABA's checklist noted that the concurrent plan should be discussed at "all hearings after the child has been in an out-of-home placement for 60 days." ¹⁶⁷ The checklist also encourages asking the following questions at the hearings:

- . Is the current caretaker willing to consider adoption?
- . Have all relatives been explored? Are any of them willing to adopt or if not be a long term caretaker?
- . If adoption has been ruled out, why?
- . If the current caretakers are not willing to adopt, are they willing to be a permanent placement under another permanency goal?

D'Andrade & Jill Duerr Berrick, When Policy Meets Practice: The Untested Effects on Reunification and Adoption, 33 J. Soc. & Soc. Welfare 31 (2006)).

¹⁶² Id. at 5-6 (citing Gretta Cushing & Sarah G. Greenblatt, Vulnerability to Foster Care Drift After the Termination of Parental Rights, 19 Res. on Soc. Work Prac. 694 (2009)).

¹⁶³ Child Trends, A National Evaluation of the Impact of Child-Focused Recruitment on Foster Care Adoption: Executive Summary 1 (2011), available at <https://www.davethomasfoundation.org/about-foster-care-adoption/research/read-the-research/executive-summary/> ("The human cost of children not being adopted from foster care is staggering - with youth experiencing higher rate of incarceration, homelessness, unintended pregnancy and truncated educations.").

¹⁶⁴ See generally Act of April 23, 2012, ch. 216, 2012 Minn. Laws. 380 (amending many portions of the juvenile code).

¹⁶⁵ See [Minn. Stat. §§260.012\(a\)](#), (k), 260C.201, subdiv. 2(c), 260C.605, subdiv. 1(b) (2012).

¹⁶⁶ Id. § 260.012(k).

¹⁶⁷ Am. Bar Ass'n Ctr. on Children & the Law, Concurrent Planning Hearing Checklist, Pa. Off. Child. & Fam. Cts. 2 (2007), <http://www.ocfpcacourts.us/assets/files/list-764/file-962.pdf>.

. What steps have been taken toward achieving the concurrent plan, i.e., identifying and approving permanent caretakers? ¹⁶⁸

Children's Law Center of Minnesota (CLC) provides direct representation for youth in foster care. ¹⁶⁹ CLC is the only private organization in Minnesota that provides direct pro bono legal [*1109] representation exclusively to children and young people in foster care. CLC's direct representation program utilizes a multi-disciplinary approach. This approach pairs staff attorneys and social workers with each volunteer attorney to provide extensive consultation and assistance so CLC child clients understand their legal options and are empowered to voice their opinions in the child protection cases that affect their lives.

As part of its practice, CLC seeks to utilize permanency best practices for its clients. As part of this permanency practice model, CLC staff internally identifies cases where concurrent planning is appropriate. In these cases, CLC staff social workers and staff attorneys address permanency with the child client during the first client meeting or postpone the conversation to a later date if the client appears to be resistant to the conversation. The practice model also provides the child's volunteer attorney with additional resources, documents, tools, and talking points, in order to have permanency conversations with the youth during subsequent client meetings.

Discussions with foster care youth at this stage are similar to the previously provided permanency questions and can include having the youth complete the following sentences:

. If I could have things my way, I would like to live with _____ because _____.

. If I can't live with Mom, I would want to live with _____.

. I feel safe with _____.

. _____ is important to me.

It is important to remember that permanency discussions are ongoing discussions that do not occur in a vacuum. When youth are comfortable talking, then questions relating to alternative placements can be asked. Conversations about permanency should happen early in the attorney-client relationship and should continue throughout the life of the case. Best practice requires flexibility and patience.

A child's attorney should have an understanding of her client's wishes regarding permanency following client communications and discussions with other important figures in the client's life. Once the client's permanency preferences are known, the attorney seeks the client's permission to share the client's express wishes as well as the names of individuals identified by the client as possible permanency resources. Upon receiving the client's permission to share the client's express wishes and identified permanency [*1110] options, the attorney communicates that information to the social services agency so that the child's identified relatives can be included on the social services agency's "relative search report" and explored as possible permanency options.

If relevant documents related to concurrent planning - such as the results of relative searches and the out-of-home case plans - are missing from an attorney's file, these documents are requested from the social services agency. The attorney reviews the client's case plan to determine if it is consistent with the child's wishes for the outcome of the case. If it appears that the case plan is inconsistent with the child's wishes, the child's attorney will meet with the client to verify the client's wishes and gain authority to request a modified case plan.

¹⁶⁸ Id.

¹⁶⁹ CLC was founded in 1995 to increase the intensity and effectiveness of child advocacy in Minnesota. CLC's mission statement is as follows: "Children's Law Center of Minnesota is a statewide non-profit organization created to promote the rights and interests of children, especially children of color and children with disabilities, in the judicial, child welfare, health care, and education systems." Child. L. Center Minn., <http://www.clcmn.org/> (last visited Feb. 8, 2014). CLC carries out its mission by providing legal advocacy through direct representation to youth in foster care, systemic reform and education.

If the social services agency does not take any action regarding the client's wishes for exploring relative placement or the client's case plan, the child's attorney then considers what pleadings need to be filed to compel exploration of the proposed relatives or to modify the child's case plan as requested by the client.¹⁷⁰

This permanency practice model incorporates youth-driven best practices to help identify relatives for youth in care, seeks to achieve successful placements with relatives early in the judicial process, and empowers youth in their proceedings.

IX. Use of Child-Specific Recruitment for Children in Foster Care

In 2012, Minnesota changed its preferred permanency disposition from transfers of legal custody to termination of parental rights and adoption or guardianship to the commissioner of human services through a consent to adopt.¹⁷¹ A study conducted by the Dave Thomas Foundation for Adoption, the Wendy's Wonderful Kids Signature Program, found that "the use of innovative strategies [such as child-specific recruitment] can lead to higher rates of adoption, especially for [youth] for whom it has traditionally been difficult to find permanent adoptive families."¹⁷² [*1111] Thus, if Minnesota continues its permanency preference of adoption, the combination of concurrent planning in the beginning of the case and child-specific recruitment later in the case may help children find successful adoptive homes.

Minnesota encourages child-specific recruitment through its Public Private Adoption Initiative (PPAI). Minnesota's PPAI is a partnership between the Minnesota Department of Human Services, county and tribal social services agencies, and licensed, private adoption agencies, with a goal of placing children into adoptive homes.¹⁷³ To achieve this goal, there are eight private adoption agencies that have contracted with Minnesota's Department of Human Services to enhance existing adoption resources.¹⁷⁴ The agencies work with county and tribal social services agencies to recruit adoptive families, provide home studies, and train and educate prospective parents about adoption.¹⁷⁵ They also help place children in adoptive homes, provide support throughout the adoption process, and provide short-term post-adoption services.¹⁷⁶

Populations in foster care with the greatest need for child recruitment services assistance are children of color, sibling groups, children with significant special needs, school-aged children, and adolescents.¹⁷⁷ These children create unique challenges to the adoption process.¹⁷⁸ Bringing more resources to the adoption process, such as child-specific recruiters, allows potential adoptive families to take the time to get to know their potential new child as

¹⁷⁰ See [Minn. Stat. §§260C.221, 260C.212, 260C.203](#) (2012) to support such motions.

¹⁷¹ Act of Apr. 23, 2012, ch. 216, art. 4, § 30, 2012 Minn. Laws 475.

¹⁷² Child Trends, *supra* note 163, at 2; see also Sue Pearlmutter et al., *Adopt Cuyahoga's Kids: Securing Adoptive Placements for Older Youth in Cuyahoga County's Public Child Welfare System*, 26 *Protecting Child.* 75, 82 (2011), available at <http://www.americanhumane.org/assets/pdfs/children/protecting-children-journal/pc-26-1.pdf> (discussing the benefits of child-specific recruitment).

¹⁷³ Minn. Dep't of Human Servs., *Public Private Adoption Initiative: Working Together to Help Families 1* (2013), available at <http://www.mnadopt.org/downloads/PPAI2013.pdf>.

¹⁷⁴ *Id.*

¹⁷⁵ *Id.*

¹⁷⁶ *Id.*

¹⁷⁷ *Id.*

¹⁷⁸ See Jenna Skees & Anne Tyler Gueinzus, *Using the Public Private Adoption Initiative and Child-Specific Recruitment to Achieve Successful Adoptive Placements for Children in Foster Care*, *CLC Prac. Point* (Children's Law Ctr. of Minn., St. Paul, Minn.) Mar. 22, 2012, at 2-3, available at <http://www.clcmn.org/wp-content/uploads/2009/06/March-2012-Practice-Point.pdf>.

well as allows the child to be part of the process. ¹⁷⁹ Including youth [*1112] in the adoption process and providing clarity to the youth about the process are significant factors in the adoption recruitment process. ¹⁸⁰ Thus, permitting the youth to understand adoption and how the process works may allow the child to be comfortable enough to be able to discuss her feelings and concerns about adoption. ¹⁸¹

Best practice requires attorneys in talking with their clients to recognize the clients' concerns about adoption. ¹⁸² Once these concerns are known and the client gives permission, the attorney can then request the court to order the county social services agency to use a child-specific recruiter to ensure that her client's adoption process receives the necessary support and attention needed to find an appropriate adoptive resource. ¹⁸³

Children deserve stable, permanent homes. They also deserve deliberate planning and parents who understand their unique needs and circumstances. Adoptions completed to achieve higher permanency numbers without careful, deliberate, and full disclosure on the child's timeline are a disservice to Minnesota's state wards. If an adoption fails and a youth returns to foster care, the foster care system has failed that child.

X. Representing the Whole Child in Order to Achieve Positive Permanency Outcomes

Children in foster care suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) at almost twice the rate of combat veterans. ¹⁸⁴ Children come into foster care for a variety of reasons. Some have been beaten, degraded, and raped. Others have been born with effects from a mother who abused alcohol or drugs during her [*1113] pregnancy. All have been abused or neglected in some way and then are thrust into a complex and confusing legal system that seeks to determine their best interests.

Every child in foster care is different, and every child has his or her own unique set of needs, wants, and desires. Most children want their parents to get better so that they can go home. ¹⁸⁵ Those children are often well aware that they may not be permitted to go home.

Children in foster care have been let down by most adults in their lives. ¹⁸⁶ Often telling their stories has resulted in them being removed from their homes, placed with strangers, and then not believed or blamed for telling family secrets. It is not surprising that they are often slow to trust.

¹⁷⁹ See Karen Malm et al., Child Trends, Evaluation Report Summary: The Impact of Child-Focused Recruitment on Foster Care Adoption 23 (2011), <https://www.davethomasfoundation.org/about-foster-care-adoption/research/read-the-research/evaluation-report-summary/> ("Parents need assistance in understanding children's needs and how to best work with the children both pre- and post-placement.").

¹⁸⁰ Id.

¹⁸¹ Skees & Gueinzus, supra note 178, at 3; see Elstein et al., supra note 11, at 8.

¹⁸² Skees & Gueinzus, supra note 178, at 3.

¹⁸³ Id.

¹⁸⁴ See Peter J. Pecora et al., Casey Family Programs, Improving Family Foster Care: Findings from the Northwest Foster Care Alumni Study 32 (2005), available at http://www.casey.org/Resources/Publications/pdf/ImprovingFamilyFosterCare_FR.pdf. Children in foster care experience PTSD at six times the rate of the general population and nearly twice the rate of Iraq and Vietnam War veterans. See id.

¹⁸⁵ See, e.g., Am. Bar Ass'n Section of Litig. Children's Rights Litig. Comm., supra note 111, at 33:05.

¹⁸⁶ Id. at 3:04.

Children in foster care also have multiple needs. Most have mental health needs due to the trauma they have experienced.¹⁸⁷ Others may need dental and medical care due to years of neglect. Still others need help in school due to placement changes.¹⁸⁸ Attorneys representing youth in care discover a youth's individual needs when they meet the youth, read court reports and assessments, and talk to the other parties in the case. As an attorney discovers the various components of her child client, she then begins to understand the "whole child."¹⁸⁹ It is only when this "whole child" is explored that the child begins to trust her attorney.

Once the practitioner has the child's trust, she can then build on that trust and learn more about who and what would make the child feel safe and secure. For example, if a child had numerous [*1114] medical needs, perhaps a former personal care attendant is a permanency option. If a child loves to read, perhaps the librarian at her previous elementary school is a permanency option. Better permanency options and placements can be made once the attorney knows the entire child, the "whole child." Such child representation requires time, commitment, and collaboration.

XI. Conclusion

Minnesota and federal child protection laws focus on permanency and the best interests of the children in the state's care.¹⁹⁰ Permanency cannot be successfully achieved without deliberate, thorough, and consistent work to understand the children in care, their relatives, family history, individual needs, and express wishes. Child practitioners cannot advocate for permanency if their clients are not included in these permanency discussions and provided opportunities to identify permanent resources.

William Mitchell Law Review

Copyright (c) 2014 William Mitchell College of Law

William Mitchell Law Review

End of Document

¹⁸⁷ See Susan J. Ko et al., Creating Trauma-Informed Systems: Child Welfare, Education, First Responders, Health Care, Juvenile Justice, 39 *Prof. Psychol.: Res. & Prac.* 396, 397-98 (2008) ("Children in the child welfare system, especially those in foster care, have a higher prevalence of mental health problems than the general population.").

¹⁸⁸ See U.S. Dep't of Educ., Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program: Title VII-B of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act as Amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, Draft Non-Regulatory Guidance 30 (2003). A child may lose four to six months of academic progress with every move to a new school. *Id.*

¹⁸⁹ Whole child representation is a holistic approach to child representation. CLC utilizes this approach in its view that a youth in foster care cannot be represented without consideration of all aspects of the child's needs, background, and experiences in other systems.

¹⁹⁰ See [42 U.S.C. §§612](#), 627, 628, 670-676, 1320b-2, 1320b-3 (2006) (codifying the Adoption Assistance and Child Welfare Act of 1980); *id.* §§673b, 678, 679b (codifying the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997); *id.* §§5101, 5102, 5104-5106, 5106a, 5106c-5106i, 5116, 5116a-5116i (codifying the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA)); Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-351, [122 Stat. 3949](#) (codified as amended at scattered sections of 42 U.S.C.); Child Family Services Improvement and Innovation Act, Pub. L. No. 112-34, [125 Stat. 369 \(2011\)](#); see also [Minn. Stat. §§260C.001](#), .193, .201, .212, .503-.521 (2012).